Expéditeur : le BUREAU INTERNATIONAL

#### PCT

NOTIFICATION DE TRANSMISSION DE COPIES DE LA TRADUCTION DU RAPPORT D'EXAMEN PRELIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITE (CHAPITRE I OU CHAPITRE II DU TRAITE DE COOPERATION EN MATIERE DE BREVETS)

(règles 44bis.3.c) et 72.2 du PCT)

Destinataire :	
GEISMAR, Thierry	

GEISMAR, Thierry
Breesé Derambure Majerowicz
38, avenue de l'Opéra
F-75002 Paris
FRANCE

1	١	
•		

Date d'expédition (jour/mois/année) 09 novembre 2006 (09.11.2006)	
Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire 34149/PCT	NOTIFICATION IMPORTANTE
Demande internationale n° PCT/FR2005/000428	Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année) 23 février 2005 (23.02.2005)
Déposant JA:	ZZMUTANT etc

	_			
1.	Transmission	de la	traduction	au dénocant

Le Bureau international transmet ci-joint copie de la traduction en langue anglaise du rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre II).

#### 2. Transmission d'une copie de la traduction aux offices désignés ou élus.

Le Bureau international notifie au déposant qu'une copie de cette traduction a été transmise aux offices désignés ou élus suivants qui exigent la traduction en question:

#### Aucun

Les offices désignés ou élus suivants ayant renoncé à l'exigence selon laquelle la transmission doit être effectuée à cette date recevront une copie de cette traduction du Bureau international seulement à leur demande:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Rappel concernant la traduction dans la ou l'une des langues officielles de l'office ou des offices élus.

Il est rappelé au déposant que, lorsqu'une traduction de la demande internationale doit être remise à un office élu, cette traduction doit comporter la traduction de toute annexe du rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre II).

Il appartient au déposant d'établir la traduction en question et de la remettre directement à chaque office élu intéressé dans le délai applicable (règle 74.1). Voir le volume II du Guide du déposant du PCT pour de plus amples renseignements.

Bureau international de l'OMPI 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Genève 20, Suisse Fonctionnaire autorisé

Beate Giffo-Schmitt

n° de télécopieur +41 22 338 82 70 n° de télécopieur +41 22 338 82 70

### TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS

### **PCT**

# RAPPORT PRÉLIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITÉ (chapitre I du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets)

(règle 44bis du PCT)

Référence du dossier du déposant o du mandataire 34149/PCT	POUR SUITE À DONNER	Voir le point 4 ci-dessous
Demande internationale no. PCT/FR2005/000428	Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année) 23 February 2005 (23.02.2005)	Date de priorité (jour/mois/année) 23 February 2004 (23.02.2004)
Classification internationale des bre Voir les informations pertinentes da	vets (8 <sup>e</sup> edition, sauf indication d'une #dition ant#riens le formulaire PCT/ISA/237	eure)
Déposant JAZZMUTANT		

_		
1.	Le présent rapport préliminai l'administration chargée de la	re international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I) est établi par le Bureau international au nom de recherche internationale selon la règle 44bis.1.a).
2.		otal de 14 feuilles, y compris la présente feuille de couverture.
	Dans les feuilles jointes, toute entendue, à la place, comme u	référence à l'opinion écrite de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale doit être une référence au rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I).
3.	Le présent rapport contient de	s indications relatives aux points suivants :
	Cadre n° I	Base de l'opinion
	Cadre n° II	Priorité
	Cadre n° III	Absence de formulation d'opinion quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle
	Cadre n° IV	Absence d'unité de l'invention
-	Cadre n° V	Déclaration motivée selon l'article 35.2) quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle; citations et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration
	Cadre n° VI	Certains documents cités
	Cadre n° VII	Certaines irrégularités relevées dans la demande internationale
	Cadre n° VIII	Certaines observations relatives à la demande internationale
4.	Le Bureau international commu mais pas avant l'expiration du d requête expresse à cet égard en v	niquera le présent rapport aux offices désignés conformément aux règles 44bis.3.c) et 93bis.1 élai de 30 mois à compter de la date de priorité (règle 44bis.2), sauf si le déposant a présenté une vertu de l'article 23.2).

	Date d'établissement du présent rapport 01 November 2006 (01.11.2006)	
Bureau international de l'OMPI 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Fonctionnaire autorisé  Beate Giffo-Schmitt	
no de télécopieur +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt03@wipo.int	
Formulaire PCT/IB/373 (janvier 2004)	The control of the co	

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATTON From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis,1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 34149/PCT See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/FR2005/000428 23.02.2005 23.02.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G06F3/033 Applicant **JAZZMUTANT** This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer Facsimile No. Telephone No. Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000428

Box No.	. I Bas	sis of this opinion
l. W	ith regard to the	e language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was
	7	
<u> </u>	i ma opinio	n has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	Rule 12.3 ar	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under nd 23.1(b)).
2. Wii	ith regard to an ention, this opi	ny nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of mate	rial
	a seque	ence listing
	table(s	related to the sequence listing
b.	format of ma	
	in writt	en format
	$\equiv$	puter readable form
c.	time of filing	
•		
	_	ed in the international application as filed.
		gether with the international application in computer readable form.
	Turnishe	d subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	In addition, in furnished, the filed or does n	the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as of go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
Addit	ional comment	s:
Ref	Eerence	is made to the following documents:
	D1:	WG 0000 to 1
	ы.	US 2002/005108 A1 (LUDWIG), 17 January 2002
		(2002-01-17)
	D2:	US-A-5 027 689 (FUJIMORI), 2 July 1991 (1991-
		07-02)
	D3:	US-A-6 762 752 (PERSKI, HAIM ET AL.), 13 July
		2004 (2004-07-13)
	D4:	
	D4.	US-A-5 053 585 (INTERLINK ELECTRONICS, INC.),
		1 October 1991 (1991-10-01)

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000428

Bo	x No. II	Priority										
1.	The	following doc	cument has not y	et been furr	nished:							
			earlier application			en claimed	(Rule 43bi	s.1 and 66.7	'(a)).			
			f the earlier app									
	Conse the as	equently it has	s not been possi the relevant dat	ble to consid	der the valid	ity of the r	riority clain	m. This opi	nion has no	evertheless	been establ	ished on
2.	This (Rule relev	opinion has b es 43bis.1 and ant date.	peen established l 64.1). Thus for	as if no pri	iority had be es of this op	en claime	d due to the internations	e fact that t	he priority e indicated	claim has above is	been found considered t	l invalid o be the
3.	Additional	observations,	if necessary:								,	
	Documer application	nt D3 was p	published afte	r the prio	rity date c	laimed,	but prior	to the fili	ng date o	of the pre	esent	
	Upon ver	ification (se	ee PCT Guid	elines 6.0	6 through	6.10, 11	.05, 17.2	6 and [17	261) it :	anneare t	hat	
	the priorit	ty date of th	ne present ap	plication of	cannot be	recognis	ed for cla	um 2 bec	ause the	subject i	natte <del>r</del>	
	of this cla	im - along	with figures	1C, 2A-2	C, 2E-2F,	3A-3D a	and many	passages	in the d	escriptio	n -	
	does not a	ppear to be	e "explicitly o	or inheren	tly disclos	sed" (see	PCT Gu	idelines,	5.09) bv	the prior	n - itv	
	document	•						,		are prior	n.y	
												ĺ
												l
							•					

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000428

Box	No. V Reasoned statem citations and exp	ent under Rule 4 anations suppor	3bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty ting such statement	, inventive step or industrial applicability;
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)		, 7, 10, 12 -3, 5-6, 8-9, 11	YE
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1	-12	YE
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-	-12	YE NO

- 2. Citations and explanations:
  - Novelty Inventive step
  - 1.1 The present application does not meet the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) in that the object of claim 1 to the extent that it can be understood in light of the description; see Box VIII does not satisfy the criterion of novelty defined by PCT Article 33(2).

    Document D1, cited in the application on pages 2-3, describes (see the passages cited in the source)

3, describes (see the passages cited in the search report; the references in parentheses apply to D1):

a method for the control of a computerised piece of equipment by a device comprising a two-dimensional multi-contact sensor for acquiring tactile information (pressure sensor array), as well as

computing means (processor) that generate control signals as a function of said tactile information (see claim 1: "extracted information is used to create control signals"),

characterised in that it includes

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000428

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

a step for generating graphical objects ("underlay graphics cues being displayed for the player," [0373]; "video display could for example provide dynamically assigned labels, abstract spatial cures, spatial gradients, line-of-sight cues for fixed or motor-controlled lighting, etc., which would be valuable for use in conjunction with the adapted null/contact touch pad controller," [0245]) on a screen placed underneath a transparent ([0258],[0373]), multi-contact tactile sensor (the "null/contact touch pad" type sensors can detect two or more points of contact simultaneously [0234][0240]; in addition, the touch pads can be used in networks, or "arrays" [0372] or in groups of two or more used simultaneously [0373]),

each of the graphical objects being associated with at least one specific processing rule, the sensor delivering, during each acquisition phase, a plurality of pieces of tactile information, each of said pieces of tactile information being subjected to a specific processing determined by its location relative to the position of one of said graphical objects (although not specified in detail in D1, it is obvious to a person skilled in the art that the different functions associated with the various pads 2731 through 2734 require specific processing rules).

A similar objection is raised against claim 9, which concerns a device corresponding to the method of claim 1. Consequently, the present

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000428

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

application does not meet the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) in that the subject matter of independent claims 1 and 9 does not satisfy the criterion of novelty defined by PCT Article 33(2).

1.2 Likewise, the present application does not meet the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) in that the subject matter of independent claims 1 and 9 - to the extent that it can be understood in light of the description; see Box VIII - does not satisfy the criterion of novelty defined by PCT article 33(2).

Document D2 also describes (see the cited passages of this document) the features of independent claims 1 and 9.

- 1.3 Furthermore, and still in spite of the lack of clarity mentioned below, the subject matter of claims 1 and 9 even if it were to be considered novel does not involve an inventive step in the sense of PCT Article 33(3) with respect to a combination of documents D1, D2 and/or D3; consequently, the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) have not been met.
- 1.4 Lastly, dependent claims 2-8 and 10-12 concern - either known features in analogous systems (see the search report); it is obvious for a person skilled in the art to apply these features, with a corresponding effect;
  - or slight structural modifications that fall

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000428

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement within the scope of current practice for a person skilled in the art, and wherein the resulting advantages are easily predictable. Consequently, the subject matter of dependent claims 2-8 and 10-12 do not involve an inventive step either.

International application No.

PCT/FR2005/000428

l. Co	ertain published documents (Rule 43bis.1	and 70.10)		
	Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim (day/month/year)
	•			
Non-	written disclosures (Rule 43bis.1 and 70.9	)		
	Kind of non-written disclosure	Date of non-written disclosure (day/month/year)	referring to	of written disclosure onon-written disclosure ay/month/year)
e fo				
e fo				

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000428

Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

- Contrary to what is required by PCT Rule
   1.1(a) (ii), the description does not indicate the relevant prior art disclosed in documents D3 and D4 and does not cite these documents.
   As for the presentation of document D1 on pages 2-3, it is inexact in that D1 actually proposes:

   a visual feedback, the touch pad being placed on top of a display; see in particular [0244] and [0258];
  - a command rule that varies as a function of the graphical object above which a contact is detected; see the multiple touch pads of figure 27.

It is noted that the patent US6570078 corresponds to the application US2002/005108 (see page 1), and that there does not seem to be any reason to present them separately.

2. Any amended independent claim must be presented in two parts as required by PCT Rule 6.3(b), with the known features in combination with the prior art (document D1 appearing to be the closest) appearing in the preamble (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(i)) and the remaining features appearing in the characterising part (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(ii).

However, if the applicants are of the opinion that the two-part presentation would be inappropriate, they must reveal their reasons in their response. Furthermore, they must make sure that the description clearly indicates which features of the subject matter of the independent claims have

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000428

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

already been disclosed in combination with those in document D1, which appears to be closest (see PCT Guidelines, III-2.3(a).

- 3. In order to facilitate the examination of the compliance of the amended parts of the application with the provisions of PCT Article 34(2)(b), the applicants are invited to clearly identify the amendments made, be they amendments by addition, replacement or deletion, and to specify the passages of the application as filed on which these amendments are based (see also PCT Rule 66.8(a)). These amendments could, if necessary, be handwritten onto a copy of the relevant parts of the application as filed.
- 4. The applicants are requested to submit amendments by means of replacement pages, in accordance with PCT Rule 66.8(a). In particular, it is preferable to submit the amendments in triplicate.

  Furthermore, the applicants are notified that PCT Rule 66.8(a) stipulates that in PCT procedure, the examiner is not authorised to make amendments, however minor they may be.
- 5. If the applicants wish to submit informational elements concerning the subject matter of the invention, for example further details on its advantages or on the problem it solves, and if these elements are not based on the application as filed, these elements must not be submitted in the response alone, without being incorporated into

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000428

Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application							
		application			34(2)(	(b)).		

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000428

Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The application does not meet the requirements set forth in PCT Article 6 because the claims are not clear.

- 1. The final wording of claim 1, "each of said pieces of tactile information being subjected to a specific processing determined by its location relative to the position of one of said graphical objects" is vague and imprecise, contrary to the requirements of PCT Article 6. It is clear in the description, page 13, lines 9-12, that it is based on the graphical object on which a contact is detected that "a specific processing is applied to the data issuing from the sensor."
- Lines 15-16 of claim 1 must be clarified on the basis of the description, as must lines 11-12, since according to the description, each graphical object corresponds to a specific processing (if there were "at least one" of them, this would pose the problem of determining which one to apply). Claim 9 must be similarly clarified; moreover, it must be added to so as to contain elements corresponding to those of claim 1, including the generation of control signals (line 7 of claim 1) and the fact that the sensor delivers, during each acquisition phase, a plurality of pieces of tactile information (lines 12-13 of claim 1).
- 3. The vague and imprecise wording in the description on page 21, lines 6-10 seems to indicate that the

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

International application No.

	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT/FR2005/000428							
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application								
	object of the protection is different from that								
	defined in the claims. This results	in a lack of							
	clarity (PCT Article 6) when the cl	aims are							
	interpreted in light of the descrip	tion.							
	•								